

## Smart Pricing: Linking Pricing Decisions with Operational Insights

Moritz Fleischmann, Joseph M. Hall and David F. Pyke

ERIM REPORT SERIES <i>RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT</i>	
ERIM Report Series reference number	ERS-2004-001-LIS
Publication	2004
Number of pages	16
Email address corresponding author	MFleischmann@fbk.eur.nl
Address	Erasmus Research Institute of Management (ERIM) Rotterdam School of Management / Rotterdam School of Economics Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam P.O. Box 1738 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands Phone: +31 10 408 1182 Fax: +31 10 408 9640 Email: <a href="mailto:info@erim.eur.nl">info@erim.eur.nl</a> Internet: <a href="http://www.erim.eur.nl">www.erim.eur.nl</a>

Bibliographic data and classifications of all the ERIM reports are also available on the ERIM website:  
[www.erim.eur.nl](http://www.erim.eur.nl)

# ERASMUS RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT

## REPORT SERIES *RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT*

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA AND CLASSIFICATIONS		
Abstract	<p>The past decade has seen a virtual explosion of information about customers and their preferences. This information potentially allows companies to increase their revenues, in particular since modern technology enables price changes to be effected at minimal cost. At the same time, companies have taken major strides in understanding and managing the dynamics of the supply chain, both their internal operations and their relationships with supply chain partners. These two developments are narrowly intertwined. Pricing decisions have a direct effect on operations and visa versa. Yet, the systematic integration of operational and marketing insights is in an emerging stage, both in academia and in business practice. This article reviews a number of key linkages between pricing and operations. In particular, it highlights different drivers for dynamic pricing strategies. Through the discussion of key references and related software developments we aim to provide a snapshot into a rich and evolving field.</p>	
Library of Congress Classification (LCC)	5001-6182	Business
	5201-5982	Business Science
	HF 5416.5	Pricing
Journal of Economic Literature (JEL)	M	Business Administration and Business Economics
	M 11 R 4	Production Management Transportation Systems
	D 4	Market Structure and Pricing
European Business Schools Library Group (EBSLG)	85 A	Business General
	260 K 240 B	Logistics Information Systems Management
	160 B	Price theory
Gemeenschappelijke Onderwerpsontsluiting (GOO)		
Classification GOO	85.00	Bedrijfskunde, Organisatiekunde: algemeen
	85.34	Logistiek management
	85.20	Bestuurlijke informatie, informatieverzorging
	83.11	Micro-economie
Keywords GOO	Bedrijfskunde / Bedrijfseconomie	
	Bedrijfsprocessen, logistiek, management informatiesystemen	
	Prijnsbeleid, logistiek, marketing, integraal ketenbeheer	
Free keywords	Dynamic pricing, operations-marketing interface, supply chain management, capacity, inventory	

# **Smart Pricing:**

## **Linking Pricing Decisions with Operational Insights**

Moritz Fleischmann<sup>1</sup>  
Joseph M. Hall<sup>2</sup>  
David F. Pyke<sup>2\*</sup>

December, 2003

<sup>1</sup>**Rotterdam School of Management / Faculteit Bedrijfskunde  
Erasmus University Rotterdam  
PO Box 1738  
3000 DR Rotterdam  
The Netherlands**

<sup>2</sup>**Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth  
100 Tuck Hall  
Hanover, NH 03755  
U.S.A.**

**\*Corresponding author**

**MFleischmann@fbk.eur.nl  
Joseph.M.Hall@Dartmouth.EDU  
David.F.Pyke@Dartmouth.EDU**

# **Smart Pricing: Linking Pricing Decisions with Operational Insights**

## **Abstract**

The past decade has seen a virtual explosion of information about customers and their preferences. This information potentially allows companies to increase their revenues, in particular since modern technology enables price changes to be effected at minimal cost. At the same time, companies have taken major strides in understanding and managing the dynamics of the supply chain, both their internal operations and their relationships with supply chain partners. These two developments are narrowly intertwined. Pricing decisions have a direct effect on operations and visa versa. Yet, the systematic integration of operational and marketing insights is in an emerging stage, both in academia and in business practice. This article reviews a number of key linkages between pricing and operations. In particular, it highlights different drivers for dynamic pricing strategies. Through the discussion of key references and related software developments we aim to provide a snapshot into a rich and evolving field.

**Keywords:** Dynamic pricing, operations-marketing interface, supply chain management, capacity, inventory

## **1. Introduction**

The past decade has seen a virtual explosion of information about customers and their preferences. Many companies have the ability to gauge customers' willingness to pay for their products, and can determine with some accuracy the effect of price changes on sales volumes. With Internet shopping, it is possible to effect such price changes at minimal cost for different customer segments, and even for individual customers. Perhaps more enticing is the development of electronic shelf labeling systems, which open the door to remarkable possibilities for dynamic pricing in bricks and mortar stores. The potential for increased revenue is huge.

At the same time, companies have taken major strides in understanding and managing the dynamics of the supply chain, both their own internal operations and their relationships with supply chain partners. Internally, many companies have implemented the tools and concepts of lean manufacturing. And externally, they have aggressively pursued supply chain initiatives, such as e-procurement, vendor-managed inventory and collaborative planning, forecasting, and replenishment. The potential for cost reduction and service improvement is great.

Yet, despite these potential benefits there is a persistent dilemma. Pricing decisions have a direct, and sometimes dramatic effect, on operations; and visa versa. This is most vividly illustrated by the well-known *bullwhip effect*, which can be initiated by price promotions (see the classic paper by Lee, Padmanabhan, and Whang, 1997). These interrelations are in line with more recent findings by Macé and Neslin (2003) that provide new insight into stockpiling (the propensity of consumers to build up inventories in response to a promotion), and deceleration (their willingness to reduce inventories in anticipation of a promotion). These effects have led many in the operations field to suggest that firms should simply eliminate promotions in favor of “every day low pricing” – evoking the disdain of their marketing colleagues. Interestingly enough, the operations community has recently identified novel drivers for dynamic pricing in their own domain, inspired by the widely acclaimed successes of revenue management in the airline industry (McCartney, 2000). All of these developments call for a thorough integration of marketing and operations insights – which today still appears to be lacking.

Conversations with numerous managers indicate that this integration is no more complete in industry than it is in academia. We have found that the most *common* practice is complete isolation of marketing from operations. The *best* practices, as far as we can tell, in spite of advanced technologies in both marketing and operations, is a regular Sales & Operations Planning (S&OP) meeting that (cordially) hashes out production and sales targets.

The perceived need for coordinating marketing and operations aspects of pricing decisions is also illustrated by developments in the software sector. For example, Reuters (2003) reports on Manugistics ([www.Manugistics.com](http://www.Manugistics.com)) combining its sophisticated supply chain management (SCM) applications with its pricing and revenue optimization (PRO) applications. Manugistics describes the linkage between SCM and PRO, which they call

Enterprise Profit Optimization (EPO), as the fastest growing part of their business, although Reuters reports that companies outside of the travel and hospitality industries are reluctant to pursue real EPO at this time.

This article reviews the linkage between pricing and operations. In particular, it highlights different drivers for dynamic pricing strategies. We do not aim to provide a comprehensive literature review here. Instead, we view this article as a brief snapshot into a rich and evolving field, of which we illustrate different angles with a few key references and related software initiatives. For a more extensive discussion of the literature, including technical aspects, we refer the interested reader to the excellent reviews of Rao (1993), Radjou, Orlov, & Herbert (2003), Chan, Shen, Simchi-Levi, & Swann (2003), and Elmaghraby & Keskinocak (2003).

This article is organized around different possible drivers for dynamic pricing. We begin with revenue management and proceed to retail markdowns. We then discuss promotions and other marketing-related drivers. Finally, we look in slightly more detail at operations-driven pricing strategies – pricing decisions made jointly with lead-time or capacity decisions, pricing/inventory decisions, and pricing/supply chain coordination.

## **2. Revenue Management**

Revenue management (or yield management) -- by far the most mature area in dynamic pricing -- is concerned with pricing a perishable resource with demand from multiple customer segments so as to maximize revenue or profit. To this end, prices are adjusted dynamically as a function of inventory level and time left in the selling season. Typical applications are in the airline and hospitality industries, where there is a fixed capacity that cannot be inventoried. In most applications, the cost side of the profit equation is largely irrelevant because the incremental cost of adding another passenger, or filling another room, is very small.

Research progress in revenue management has been impressive. McGill and van Ryzin (1999) provide a review of the literature as well as directions for future research, and Boyd and Bilegan (2003) present an updated review with a focus on e-commerce applications. Revenue management has been the driving force behind many attempts to integrate pricing and operations.

Management practice and software solutions have likewise shown remarkable progress. The airlines' successful use of revenue management is widely understood. Recently, similar concepts have been applied to manage rebates in car sales contributing to, for example, \$260 million of the \$896 million first quarter 2003 profit of Ford (Welch, 2003). Major software providers include SABRE ([www.sabreairlinesolutions.com](http://www.sabreairlinesolutions.com)) and Manugistics ([www.Manugistics.com](http://www.Manugistics.com)). Other examples in nontraditional industries include pricing of advertising time in the broadcast industry, contract design in the health care industry, and capacity auctions in the natural gas pipeline industry (Secomandi, Abbott, Atan, & Boyd, 2002). The authors describe applications of PROS Revenue Management, Inc.'s software tools ([www.prosrevenuemanagement.com](http://www.prosrevenuemanagement.com)).

### **3. Retail markdowns**

Retailers of seasonal goods – apparel, school supplies, Christmas toys – regularly face the perplexing problem of when, and by how much, to decrease prices as the season draws to a close. The underlying trade-offs are very similar to the revenue management problem. In particular, product cost is largely irrelevant - the primary focus is maximizing revenue from leftover goods. One new feature, however, lies in the coordination of the initial purchase decision with the markdown schedule.

A seminal reference for this research area is Gallego and van Ryzin (1994) who investigate static pricing policies that are much easier to implement than “jittery” dynamic prices. The authors find that the lost revenue due to static pricing is minimal, at least for the situations they investigate.

Another reference of practical interest on the markdown problem is Smith and Achabal (1998). Their model, which was tested and implemented at three retailers, sets prices optimally in conjunction with inventory policies, and it takes into account the impact of reduced assortment, price, and seasonal changes on sales rates. Implementation at the retailers was complex because of soft input data, existing management practices and related difficulties; and results were mixed. In one case, for instance, a revenue increase of only 1% was reported, although this represented a \$15 million increase in gross margin. More recently,

markdown analyses have been extended to multiple supply chain stages (Jorgensen and Kort, 2002).

Software developments concerning markdowns are much more recent than in revenue management. Examples include 4R Systems ([www.4Rsystems.com](http://www.4Rsystems.com)), which includes forecasting, inventory ordering and markdowns; Markdown Management Inc. ([www.markdown-management.com](http://www.markdown-management.com)) with solution “B\_Line” that optimizes markdowns as well as initial inventory decisions; ProfitLogic ([www.ProfitLogic.com](http://www.ProfitLogic.com)), which includes a “Markdown Manager” module; and i2 ([www.i2.com](http://www.i2.com)). Marshall (2001) reports that retailers have experienced improvements in gross margins of 5% – 20% from implementing markdown optimization software, so we expect to see a rapid expansion of these implementations.

#### **4. Promotions and Dynamic Pricing**

Promotions are commonly used for new product introductions, but they are also frequently used with staple consumer goods such as tuna, soda and paper towels. It is this latter category that has generated most of the research. The literature suggests that price discrimination is a key driver of promotion offerings. For example, if customers differ in their brand loyalty, their information about current prices, or their willingness to stockpile, then periodic promotions may allow a firm to profitably price discriminate between these different types. Yet price discrimination isn’t the only motivation for price promotions: promotions that are loss leaders can also drive store traffic. A key academic reference on promotions is Neslin (2002). This excellent book provides a full understanding of the reasons for promotions as well as an extensive review of the marketing literature in this area. Several recent papers merit further comment as well.

Kannan and Kopalle (2001) focus on internet sales and generate a number of hypotheses about how consumers will react to dynamic pricing, both on the internet and in physical stores. This paper explicitly considers the effects of consumer learning, reference price effects, consumer price expectations, all of which are largely ignored in the operations literature. Kopalle, Mela, and Marsh (1999) conclude that higher-share brands tend to overpromote, while lower-share brands do not promote frequently enough. They project profitability increases of 7% to 31% if their insights are employed. And as already noted, in



the introduction, Macé and Neslin (2003) provide evidence that promotions increase near term sales, but also decrease off-promotion sales. Unfortunately, the exact dynamics remain uncertain.

One open issue is the relationship of consumer *consumption* and promotions. Our own research (Fleischmann, Hall, and Pyke, 2003) has investigated pricing policies for a firm facing a downward sloping demand curve and an upward sloping, concave consumption curve. The shape of this consumption curve reflects the belief that consumers use more product when they have more available. We find that this consumption effect, if it is sufficiently strong, may sometimes justify periodic price promotions. However, in many cases constant pricing is preferable.

The above papers are representative of the marketing literature in the sense that they focus on consumer behavior and ignore operations costs and supply chain dynamics. A number of papers have begun to expand this view by including upstream supply considerations. Sogomonian and Tang (1993) study the coordination of promotion and production decisions and detail the increase in profit and decrease in inventory that result. Iyer and Ye (2000) study a three-level supply chain composed of retail customers, a retailer and a manufacturer, and develop several interesting insights into promotions. For instance, if the uncertainty of the sales impact of promotions is high, it may be more profitable for the retailer not to promote at all. The most interesting result from the perspective of integrating operations and marketing decisions is that as customers' inventory holding cost decreases, their stockpiling increases, which in turn suggests that retailers will promote less frequently. Less frequent promotions mean that stockpilers purchase higher quantities with each promotion. Retailer profits increase in this scenario, but manufacturer profits decrease if the manufacturer is not made aware of the promotion schedule. Huchzermeier, Iyer, and Freiheit (2002) model a case where customers react to retail promotions by stockpiling and by switching package sizes. This research incorporates the behavior of "smart" customers who calculate a per-unit cost of product and thereby choose package sizes optimally. Understanding the response of these smart customers to promotions can reduce inventory costs at the store and suggests that the retailer can benefit from offering a variety of product sizes.

The status of theoretical and empirical research suggests that promotions are heavily studied, but that there remain significant gaps in our knowledge. The research also reveals that

managers rely on very simple rules when making pricing and promotion decisions, although there are some notable exceptions. Software solutions are beginning to provide the tools that can help managers add a level of science to the art of promotions and pricing. These include, for example, Manugistics' *Profitable Promotions Management* ([www.Manugistics.com](http://www.Manugistics.com)), which predicts the jump in sales due to promotions, and KhiMetrics ([www.khimetrics.com](http://www.khimetrics.com)), which has a promotion manager that measures and optimizes the effect of promotions on sales.

## **5. Operations: Pricing, Lead Time and Capacity**

Research integrating pricing issues with management of lead time and production capacity can be divided into two segments. One integrates pricing concerns into the capacity procurement decision, which reflects a long time horizon; the other focuses on a shorter time horizon: using pricing to make the best possible use out of the available capacity, akin to revenue management. The latter aims at smoothing out demand imbalances, due to either structural seasonal patterns or short-term random fluctuations.

Three recent papers model the long-term capacity choice. So and Song (1998) study capacity expansion and pricing for a firm that uses delivery time guarantees as a competitive strategy. Along these same lines, Van Mieghem and Dada (1999) illustrate how competition, uncertainty, and the timing of operational decisions influence capacity investment. Boyaci and Ray (2003) model pricing, delivery time and capacity decisions in conjunction with two substitutable products. They develop insights into the relationship between the relative cost of capacity for the two products and the price or time differentiation that the firm offers to the market. For instance, firms that face increasing capacity costs should prefer a time-based strategy to a price-based strategy due to the increased demand that can result from fast delivery coupled with the price premium that can be charged for it.

Among the research that addresses dynamic pricing as a tool to improve capacity utilization, Swann (2001) investigates the joint setting of prices and production quantities when one or the other or both must be committed to at the beginning of the planning horizon. Chan, Simchi-Levi, and Swann (2002) study the benefits of using price to influence demand levels when demand is seasonal and production is constrained so as to insure inventory availability for periods of high demand. In a similar vein, Olsen (2003) examines optimal policies for

quotation of prices and lead times dynamically as capacity “slots” become filled. Hall, Kopalle, and Pyke (2003) study the extent to which a firm can benefit from knowing the status of a production facility when making pricing decisions. In particular, they study the relationship between pricing policy performance and the complexity of the pricing policy, measured by the amount of information required from the factory floor. The gains from utilizing factory information can be quite high – up to 65% profit increase; and a fairly simple heuristic policy achieves most of these benefits. Cattani, Dahan, and Schmidt (2002) study pricing decisions when a blend of make-to-order and make-to-stock production is carried out in a single facility. The analysis is designed to determine when a firm should engage in both types of production in a single facility, but one lesson is the value that is derived from making this decision in concert with the pricing decision.

Examples of management practice where pricing and lead time or capacity decisions are explicitly linked are few. Our conversations with managers suggest that many are pursuing more rigorous and sophisticated pricing and operations decisions, but they are doing so on parallel tracks. One exception described in the literature is Tickets.com which reportedly has improved revenue per event by 45% by modifying price based on supply and demand (Marshall, 2001).

Software tools appropriate to this area are covered at the end of Section 6. One solution provider that merits a note specifically in this section is Revenue Technologies ([www.revenuetech.com](http://www.revenuetech.com)), because their software helps automate the entire process of negotiating and managing contract pricing.

## **6. Operations: Pricing and Inventory Decisions**

Research on inventory management dates back to at least 1913 (Harris, 1913), and perhaps even to 1888 (Edgeworth, 1888), so it is not surprising that the operations community has taken steps to link inventory and pricing decisions. In fact, research on the integration of pricing and inventory was pursued almost half a century ago by Whitin (1955). That paper incorporated pricing decisions into two classic inventory-ordering models, the economic order quantity model and the newsvendor model. More generally, linking prices to inventory levels may result in dynamic pricing policies.

Two primary functions of inventory are to take advantage of economies of scale in ordering or production, leading to “cycle stocks,” and to protect against uncertainties in demand or replenishment times, leading to “safety stocks.”

Among salient research on cycle stocks, Blattberg, Eppen, & Lieberman (1981) investigates *why* retailers promote. This paper presents evidence that promotions serve to transfer inventory holding cost to consumers when both parties act to minimize their own costs. Hall, Kopalle, and Krishna (2003) study dynamic pricing and inventory-ordering decisions in a setting where manufacturers offer trade deals (discounts) to retailers, and retailers manage a category of substitute products (e.g., frozen orange juice) rather than managing the products (individual brands) independently. They conclude that managing pricing and ordering for the entire category of products instead of on a product-by-product basis can create benefits that range from 15% to 50%.

While cycle stock models generally assume that demand is known with certainty, safety stock models allow for demand, and sometimes lead time, uncertainty. Safety stock analyses may or may not incorporate the impact of order or production setup costs. In the absence of setup costs, safety stock models generally recommend a “base stock” policy in which one replenishes inventory in each period to a constant level. In the presence of setup costs, it is generally only optimal to place an order when inventory has fallen below a certain reorder point.

A key paper in this stream is Federgruen and Heching (1999) who study a firm that must repeatedly decide how much inventory to have on hand and what price to set, in the absence of order setup costs. They term the optimal policy a “base-stock list-price policy.” When the inventory level drops below a base-stock level, the firm should charge the list price and order up to the base-stock level for that period. When inventory is above the base-stock level, order nothing and charge less than the list price, in effect a type of markdown policy employed under high inventory levels. However, these markdowns only occur towards the end of the planning horizon, otherwise a single price is employed. The work of Zhu and Thonemann (2003) extends this analysis to two products with interrelated demand. For cases where demand is stable over time, the authors find that dynamic pricing has minimal impact on profit. However, when demand is nonstationary, they find that dynamic pricing can increase profits by up to 49%.

A number of papers have expanded on the work of Federgruen and Heching by incorporating ordering or production setup costs, thereby combining cycle stock and safety stock considerations. The results of these papers differ depending on how they model consumer demand. Feng and Chen (2002) study a case where only two prices are allowed. They find that it is optimal to employ a high price under very low and very high inventory levels and a low price under intermediate levels. Chen and Simchi-Levi (2002a) and Chen and Simchi-Levi (2002b) explore the optimality of more general pricing policies under different models of consumer demand.

Software developers have taken some significant steps to integrate pricing and inventory management. For example, Rapt ([www.rapt.com](http://www.rapt.com)) has a procurement module that models the tradeoff of too much versus too little inventory, and a price module that sets prices based on a price-demand curve. It also allows prices to change based on inventory levels. DemandTec ([www.DemandTec.com](http://www.DemandTec.com)) likewise employs the price-demand curve, but this software also captures substitution effects within a category, as well as some rudimentary cost measures, such as the cost of loading and unloading in the warehouse. KhiMetrics ([www.KhiMetrics.com](http://www.KhiMetrics.com)) also provides tools for category management/substitution effects, the price-demand curve, competitor prices, promotions, and other constraints. Few software providers, however, offer real joint optimization of pricing with inventory or other supply chain dynamics. One apparent exception is Retek ([www.Retek.com](http://www.Retek.com)), which claims to integrate forecasting, inventory replenishment and pricing and promotion. And, as noted above, sales of Manugistics' Enterprise Profit Optimization (EPO) are growing fast.

## **7. Conclusions**

Academic literature on joint pricing/operations decisions has made significant strides. In some areas, like revenue management and retail markdowns, software and management advances have been truly impressive. In other areas, like pricing/capacity or pricing/inventory, the academic progress has been primarily theoretical and practical implementation remains elusive. There is much work to be done to capture a full understanding of dynamic pricing, along with a sophisticated grasp of operations and the supply chain. Marketing faculty and managers need to recognize that a unit cost is not a given number, nor is a lead-time a given value. Rather, their decisions to adjust price can have a dramatic effect on the supply chain

and hence on profitability. Operations faculty and managers, for their part, need to appreciate the many reasons for and benefits of dynamic pricing, and be willing to explore the interactions between dynamic pricing and inventory, production planning, and capacity management decisions. The good news is that managers recognize the possibilities of this integration, researchers are actively pursuing more and more sophisticated models and implementable heuristics, and software developers are building the best insights into their existing offerings.

## Appendix: Software References

Selected systems referenced in this article. We emphasize that this list is by no means meant to be exhaustive:

- Revenue management
  - SABRE ([www.sabreairlinesolutions.com](http://www.sabreairlinesolutions.com))
  - Manugistics ([www.Manugistics.com](http://www.Manugistics.com))
  - PROS Revenue Management, Inc. ([www.prosrevenue.com](http://www.prosrevenue.com))
- Retail markdowns
  - 4R Systems ([www.4Rsystems.com](http://www.4Rsystems.com))
  - Markdown Management, Inc. ([www.markdown-management.com](http://www.markdown-management.com))
  - ProfitLogic ([www.ProfitLogic.com](http://www.ProfitLogic.com))
  - i2 ([www.i2.com](http://www.i2.com))
- Promotions
  - Manugistics - Profitable Promotions Management ([www.Manugistics.com](http://www.Manugistics.com))
  - KhiMetrics ([www.khimetrics.com](http://www.khimetrics.com))
- Dynamic pricing and operations
  - Revenue Technologies ([www.revenuetech.com](http://www.revenuetech.com))
  - Rapt ([www.rapt.com](http://www.rapt.com))
  - DemandTec ([www.DemandTec.com](http://www.DemandTec.com))
  - KhiMetrics ([www.KhiMetrics.com](http://www.KhiMetrics.com))
  - Retek ([www.Retek.com](http://www.Retek.com))
  - Manugistics - Enterprise Profit Optimization ([www.Manugistics.com](http://www.Manugistics.com))

## References

- Blattberg, R. C., Eppen, G. D., & Lieberman, J. (1981). A Theoretical and Empirical Evaluation of Price Deals for Consumer Nondurables. *Journal of Marketing*, 45(1), 116-129.
- Boyaci, T., and Ray, S. (2003). Product Differentiation and Capacity Cost Interaction in Time and Price Sensitive Markets. *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, 5(1), 18-36.
- Boyd, E. A., and Bilegan, I. C. (2003). Revenue Management and E-Commerce. *Management Science*, 49(10), 1363-1386.

- Cattani, K., Dahan, E., and Schmidt, G. (2002). Spackling: Smoothing Make-to-order Production of Custom Products with Make-to-stock Production of Standard Items. Working Paper, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC.
- Chan, L. M. A., Shen, Z. J. M., Simchi-Levi, D., and Swann, J. L. (2003). Coordination of Pricing and Inventory Decisions: A Survey and Classification. Working Paper, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario.
- Chan, L. M. A., Simchi-Levi, D., and Swann, J. (2002). Dynamic Pricing Strategies for Manufacturing with Stochastic Demand and Discretionary Sales. Working Paper, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.
- Chen, X., and Simchi-Levi, D. (2002a). Coordinating inventory control and pricing strategies with random demand and fixed ordering cost: the finite horizon case. Working Paper, MIT, Cambridge, MA.
- Chen, X., and Simchi-Levi, D. (2002b). Coordinating Inventory Control and Pricing Strategies with Random Demand and Fixed Ordering Cost: The Infinite Horizon Case. Working Paper, MIT, Cambridge, MA.
- Edgeworth, F. Y. (1888). The Mathematical Theory of Banking. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 51, 113-127.
- Elmaghraby, W., and Keskinocak, P. (2003). Dynamic Pricing in the Presence of Inventory Considerations: Research Overview, Current Practices, and Future Directions. *Management Science*, 49(10), 1287-1309.
- Federgruen, A., and Heching, A. (1999). Combined Pricing and Inventory Control Under Uncertainty. *Operations Research*, 47(3), 454-475.
- Feng, Y., and Chen, F. Y. (2002). Joint Pricing and Inventory Control with Setup Costs and Demand Uncertainty. Working Paper, The Chinese Univ. of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong.
- Fleischmann, M., Hall, J. M., and Pyke, D. F. (2003). Coordinating Inventory and Pricing Decisions when Customers Stockpile. Conference Presentation, International Conference on OR, Heidelberg, Germany, September 3 -5.
- Gallego, G., and van Ryzin, G. (1994). Optimal Dynamic Pricing of Inventories with Stochastic Demand over Finite Horizons. *Management Science*, 40(8), 999-1020.
- Hall, J. M., Kopalle, P. K., and Krishna, A. (2003). A Category Management Model of Retailer Dynamic Pricing and Ordering Decisions: Normative and Empirical Analysis. Working Paper, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH.



- Hall, J. M., Kopalle, P. K., and Pyke, D. F. (2003). Static and Dynamic Pricing Of Excess Capacity in a Make-To-Order Environment. Working Paper, Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth, Hanover, NH.
- Harris, F. W. (1913). How Many Parts to Make at Once. *Factory, The Magazine of Management*, 10, 135-136, 152.
- Huchzermeier, A., Iyer, A., and Freiheit, J. (2002). The Supply Chain Impact of Smart Customers in a Promotional Environment. *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, 4(3), 228-240.
- Iyer, A. V., and Ye, J. (2000). Assessing the Value of Information Sharing in a Promotional Retail Environment. *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, 2(2), 128-143.
- Jorgensen, S., and Kort, P. (2002). Optimal pricing and inventory policies: centralized and decentralized decision making. *European Journal of Operations Research*, 138, 578-600.
- Kannan, P. K., and Kopalle, P. K. (2001). Dynamic Pricing on the Internet: Importance and Implications for Consumer Behavior. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 5(3), 63-83.
- Kopalle, P., Mela, and Marsh. (1999). The Dynamic Effect of Discounting on Baseline Sales: Empirical Analysis and Normative Pricing Implications. *Marketing Science*, 18(3), 317-332.
- Lee, H., Padmanabhan, P., and Whang, S. (1997). The Bullwhip Effect in Supply Chains. *Sloan Management Review*, 38(3), 93-102.
- Macé, S., and Neslin, S. A. (2003). The Determinants of Promotion-Induced Stockpiling and Deceleration. Working Paper, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH.
- Marshall, J. (2001). *Digitas: Contextual Pricing and the Internet*. Presentation at Tuck School of Business, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, November 5.
- McCartney, S. (2000) Computer Class: Airlines Find a Bag of High Tech Tricks to Keep Income Aloft. *Wall Street Journal*, January 20.
- McGill, J. I., and van Ryzin, G. (1999). Revenue management: Research overview and prospects. *Transportation Science*, 33(2), 233-256.
- Neslin, S. A. (2002). *Sales Promotion*. Marketing Science Institute.
- Olsen, T. (2003). *Dynamic Quotation of Prices and Lead-Times*. Presentation at Tuck School of Business, January 24, 2003, St. Louis.

- Radjou, N., Orlov, L. M., and Herbert, L. (2003). Helping Supply Chain Cope With Demand. Forrester Report(June).
- Rao, V. R. (1993). Pricing Models in Marketing. In J. Eliashberg and G. L. Lilien (Eds.), *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science: Marketing*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Reuters (2003). Chapter 7: ROI & Supply Chain Optimization. In *REUTERS BUSINESS INSIGHT - TECHNOLOGY & eCOMMERCE: The Return on Investment Outlook: Assessing the business benefits of IT (2003)* (pp. 180-200)
- Secomandi, N., Abbott, K., Atan, T., and Boyd, E. A. (2002). From revenue management concepts to software systems. *INTERFACES*, 32(2), 1-11.
- Smith, S., and Achabal, D. (1998). Clearance pricing and inventory policies for retail chains. *Management Science*, 44(3), 285-300.
- So, K. C., and Song, J.-S. (1998). Price, Delivery Time Guarantees and Capacity Selection. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 111(1), 28-49.
- Sogomonian, A. G., and Tang, C. S. (1993). A Modeling Framework for Coordinating Promotion and Production Decisions within a Firm. *Management Science*, 39(2), 191-203.
- Swann, J. L. (2001). *Dynamic Pricing Models to Improve Supply Chain Performance*. PhD Dissertation, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL.
- Van Mieghem, J. A., and Dada, M. (1999). Price versus Production Postponement: Capacity and Competition. *Management Science*, 45(12), 1631-1649.
- Welch, D. (2003). Ford Tames the Rebate Monster. *Business Week*, May 5.
- Whitin, T. M. (1955). Inventory Control and Price Theory. *Management Science*, 2(1), 61-68.
- Zhu, K., and Thonemann, U. W. (2003). Coordination of Pricing and Inventory Control Across Products. Working paper, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.

## Publications in the Report Series Research\* in Management

### ERIM Research Program: "Business Processes, Logistics and Information Systems"

2004

Smart Pricing: Linking Pricing Decisions with Operational Insights  
Moritz Fleischmann, Joseph M. Hall and David F. Pyke  
ERS-2004-001-LIS

---

\* A complete overview of the ERIM Report Series Research in Management:  
<https://ep.eur.nl/handle/1765/1>

ERIM Research Programs:  
LIS Business Processes, Logistics and Information Systems  
ORG Organizing for Performance  
MKT Marketing  
F&A Finance and Accounting  
STR Strategy and Entrepreneurship